

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,838	11/06/2001	Tallis Y. Chang	82874.0011	9358
7.7	90 07/21/2003			
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1900			KIM, ELLEN E	
LOS ANGELES, C	CA 900/1-2611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Com		10/100,398	AMICHE ET AL.
Office Action Sum	mary -	Examiner	Art Unit
		Ellen E Kim	2874
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appear	ars on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under t after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe - Any reply received by the Office later than th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status	ONIMUNICATION. he provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(i) of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply wi maximum statutory period will a nod for reply will, by statute, ca ree months after the mailion do	a). In no event, however, may a thin the statutory minimum of the apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communica	ition(s) filed on		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .			
, —		action is non-final.	
closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pendir	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are withdrawn	from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allow			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected	d.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objec			
8) Claim(s) are subject		ection requirement	
Application Papers		e suovi i oquii omonii.	
−9) The specification is objected			· · · · · _ · _ · _ · _ ·
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ accepted	or b) objected to by t	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that	t any objection to the dra	awing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) LI The proposed drawing correct	tion filed on $__$ is:	a) ☐ approved b) ☐ c	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawing	gs are required in reply to	this Office action.	•
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obj		ner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and			
13) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ No	one of:		
 ☐ Certified copies of the 	priority documents ha	ve been received.	
2. Certified copies of the			pplication No.
3. Copies of the certified	copies of the priority d	ocuments have been	received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domestic prid	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the form 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a ttachment(s)	eign language provisio	nal application has be	on received
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
 ├─ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R ├─ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 	eview (PTO-948) -1449) Paper No(s)		iummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action S		Part of Paner No. 6

Application/Control Number: 10/100,398

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites "a main waveguide". It is not clear whether "a main waveguide" is the "one or more waveguides".

Claim 13 recites "a substrate" in line 5. It is not clear whether the substrate is the same with "a substrate" in line 2 of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 13-17, 20, 21, 23-28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Arii et al [USPAT 5,091,986].

Arii et al show a substrate, a main waveguide, tap waveguides, and waveguide tap couplers [see fig. 2].

Application/Control Number: 10/100,398

Art Unit: 2874

In re claim 10, Arii et al teach at column 10, lines 52-55 that the input and the output fibers are multimode type.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 11, 18, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arii et al.

Arii et al discloses every aspect of claimed invention except for the one or more main and tap waveguides are single mode waveguides. It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Arii et al's device to have a single mode main and tap waveguide for the purpose of easier and simpler manufacturing process when it is not necessary to transmit multimode light signal.

Claims 12, 19, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arii et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/100,398

Art Unit: 2874

Arii et al discloses every aspect of claimed invention except for the portion of the optical signal diverted to the tap waveguide which is less than about three percent of power in the main waveguide.

Arii et al teach at column 2, lines 41-61 that the refractive index, width, shape and the length of the optical waveguide determine the power distribution. Therefore, It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Arii et al's device to have the portion of the optical signal diverted to the tap waveguide which is less than about three percent of power in the main waveguide as needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Komatsu et al [USPAT 5,841,929].

Komatsu et al disclose light wavelength filtering circuit comprising main waveguides and tap waveguides with Y junction tap coupler.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Further references of interest are cited on Form PLO-892, which is attachment to this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

Ellen E. Kim

Primary Examiner

July 15, 2003/EK